

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,800	10/31/2003	Jorg Bernard	G5005.0027	1152	
32172 7	590 04/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			PEARSE, ADEPEJU OMOLOLA		
41 ST FL.	or mermora	(0111111 21102)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-2714		1761		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,800	BERNARD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adepeju Pearse	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. pty be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1)⊠ · Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	<u>ine 2004</u> .		
·—	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		s
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-60</u> is/are pending in the application	n. _.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>32, 34-36, 38-40, 42, 44, 46, 49</u> is/are	-		
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>30-60</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C. 8	110(a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.C. §	1 19(a)-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·		
application from the International Bureau	·		٠
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	,
	•	•	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	Ì
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 30-50 and 60 drawn to a gelatin-free soft caramel classified in class 426, subclass 548.
 - II. Claims 51-59 drawn to a method of producing a gelatin-free isomaltulose classified in class 426, subclass 660.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process and does not require the specifics of steam heating or incorporating air. The process of heating could be done by other means such as gas or electric heating. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,800 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

4. Claims 32, 34-36, 38-40, 42, 44, 46, 49 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims are dependent on claim 1, which has been cancelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,800

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adepeju Pearse whose telephone number is 571-272-8560. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8.00am - 4.30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peju Pearse

STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER